

Copyright & Fair Use

recent legal shifts & trends

Jessamyn West


<librarian.net/talks/njla13>





Thanks! There are links for all the stuff I'm talking about at the URL on this slide. Thanks for having me. All the images in this talk are either free, used with permission or screenshot-ish type stuff that I believe falls under fair use. This talk is part "what's happened this year" and a bit of "what I'd like to see happen and how you can help"



I had a slide with all my jobs but they're not important. I have worked in libraries, I now run a big website and teach people computers. I fill in at Open Library. come at this from one specific side of the issue, the Free Culture Movement. Our goal is to make sure that culture belongs to the people. I don't expect you or other people to be Free Culture people, I'm just telling you where I am coming from. Copyright is real. Problems with copyright are real. Everyone needs to find their own comfy place within this system. Your place depends on the values of you and of your institution, your comfort with risk and uncertainty, and your own personal moral compass. As I tell people, I'm aware that I'm way out on one end of this issue and I'm okay with that. I just feel that my job is to nudge people in my direction.




I am also, it goes without saying, not a lawyer. I am a justice of the peace. This is Belva Ann Lockwood, she is a lawyer. I got her photo from Wikimedia Commons via a Google Image search looking for female lawyer pictures that I could use, modify and share.




vs.




A quick note about two common aspects of controlling/sharing content.





Copyright. "legal concept, enacted by most governments, giving the creator of an original work [exclusive rights](#) to it, usually for a limited time." Loose idea is that people are encouraged to create culture if people don't steal their things all the time. Something copyrighted has restrictions placed on it. In and of itself that is fine. (Michael Sauers made this image for his talk, I am borrowing it. I know Michael won't mind)



Copyright Duration and the Mickey Mouse Curve



However there's some debate about how long those restrictions should be in effect and just how punished people should be if they circumvent those restrictions. Used to be that making a copy of a thing always meant you had sort of a lousy copy (cassette tapes anyone?). Not anymore. This has changed the playing field. I am not telling you anything you do not know.



Creative Commons tries to turn this on its head. They've been around about ten years. These licenses allow creators to communicate which rights they reserve, and which rights they [waive](#) for the benefit of recipients or other creators. By definition if you're dabbling in creative commons, you're looking to share. It started out as sort of a hippie idea but it's been getting more traction and awareness lately.

Fair Use

- ▶ **Purpose & Character** (transformative?)
- ▶ **Nature of the Work** (fixation?)
- ▶ **Amount & Substantiality** (sampling?)
- ▶ **Effect on Original Work's Value** (harm?)

[TEACH Act offers additional protections]



There are exceptions to copyright law. Public Domain is one. Right of first sale is another. Fair Use is the big one. "any copying of copyrighted material done for a limited and "transformative" purpose, such as to comment upon, criticize, or parody a copyrighted work" Just a quick zip through what fair use determinations look like. Fair Use is what you get to do with some of the in-between stuff. Use some of it, with good reasons and good justifications, and that's legal, as in written into copyright law legal.

FAIR USE: IT'S THE LAW



EXERCISE YOUR COPYRIGHT RIGHTS IN THE CLASSROOM



There have been some changes to what we have thought is and isn't okay in the world of copyright in the last 18 months. I'd like to take a ramble through some of them.

FAIR USE: IT'S THE LAW



EXERCISE YOUR COPYRIGHT RIGHTS IN THE CLASSROOM

<http://www.flickr.com/photos/sixteenmilesOfString/2596569134/>



There have been some changes to what we have thought is and isn't okay in the world of copyright in the last 18 months. I'd like to take a ramble through some of them.



I also went googling around for some "exciting librarian" images and found this in a training manual from 1921. "Some Day this hamlet will have its own permanent structure..." which is funny because now we talk about the libraries of the future being virtual and others having an impermanent (pop up?) structure if they have any structure at all. I know I always say that it's an exciting time to be a librarian, but I think it's because I like my job(s) and I am excitable. But it's also because the library culture of my reality is coming closer to being the library culture of my dreams. There's been a lot going on just in the last year and a half

Georgia E-Reserves



May 2012: Georgia State won, or mostly won, the lawsuit over e-reserves and access and making them available to students under fair use guidelines. Brandon Butler from ARL said "Oxford University Press reported \$1-billion in sales last year, \$180-million in profits. Is that what a publisher on the verge of collapse looks like?" OUP is appealing and 50% of their legal costs are being paid by the Copyright Clearance Center. I bet they won't win. Part of what made this okay was the access control Georgia State was using.

MLA: OA Friendly

MLA Journals Adopt New Open-Access-Friendly Author Agreements

The journals of the Modern Language Association, including *PMLA*, *Profession*, and the *ADE* and *ADFL* bulletins, have adopted new open-access-friendly author agreements, which will go into use with their next full issues. The revised agreements leave copyright with the authors and explicitly permit authors to deposit in open-access repositories and post on personal or departmental Web sites the versions of their manuscripts accepted for publication. For more information on the new agreements, please contact the [office of scholarly communication](#).

Added 5 June 2012



June 2012: the journals of the modern language association have changed their author agreements to leave copyright in the hands of the authors & share them in repositories. Basically saying "hey if this is a cultural value we have, we should walk the talk." Nice job MLA. This year they launched MLA commons for online collaboration which now has 2700 active users.

MLA: OA Friendly

MLA COMMONS [GROUPS](#) [MEMBERS](#) [BLOGS](#) [ACTIVITY](#) [WIKI](#) [PUBLIC](#)



An Open Discussion of MLA Group Structure

A working group cochaired by Marianne Hirsch, president of the MLA, and Margaret Ferguson, first vice president of the MLA, in consultation with members of the executive committees of current divisions and discussion groups and with the advice of a large number of members, has created a draft proposal for a new MLA group structure and is seeking your guidance. Visit [groupsdiscu \[...\]](#)




June 2012: the journals of the modern language association have changed their author agreements to leave copyright in the hands of the authors & share them in repositories. Basically saying "hey if this is a cultural value we have, we should walk the talk." Nice job MLA. This year they launched MLA commons for online collaboration which now has 2700 active users.

What What (in the Butt)



June 2012: Clear parody case. Did not go to trial. "When a defendant raises a fair use defense claiming his or her work is a parody, a court can often decide the merits of the claim without discovery or a trial. When the two works in this case are viewed side-by-side, the South Park episode is clearly a parody of the original WWITB video, providing commentary on the ridiculousness of the original video and the viral nature of certain YouTube videos." (also court costs)

What What (in the Butt)



June 2012: Clear parody case. Did not go to trial. "When a defendant raises a fair use defense claiming his or her work is a parody, a court can often decide the merits of the claim without discovery or a trial. When the two works in this case are viewed side-by-side, the South Park episode is clearly a parody of the original WWITB video, providing commentary on the ridiculousness of the original video and the viral nature of certain YouTube videos." (also court costs)

Authors Guild v. Hathi

DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF ENGINEERS.

FIREMAN'S FIRST EXAMINATION.

How long have you been in the service?*

How long have you served as a fireman?


What should you do on arrival at the round-house previous to your departure therefrom with your locomotive? I should draw the necessary supplies and see that the lubricators, lamps, oil cans, tank and sand boxes are filled. If the coal be bituminous, I should see that it is broken and wet down, that the cab and its fittings are wiped, the ashpan cleaned, and the grates straight, so that coal will not drop through them.

When on duty, do you compare your watch with that of the engineer to see that they agree?

As it is the duty of the engineer to show you any train orders that he receives, are you particular to see that the rule is always observed?

Are you familiar with the signals?

*In the army and navy, fitness being the same, promotion is dependent on length of service. Promotion in the railway world also depends on length of service, provided the qualifications of applicants are alike. Continued and trusted service, it will thus be seen, in the employ of a particular railroad company is a matter of the greatest importance to those who seek preferment.



Oct. 2012: HathiTrust's use of books scanned by Google was declared Fair Use under US Copyright Law. The decision specifically mentioned "transformative uses" such as indexing. Many people felt that this decision paved the way for other large digital repositories. The thing about Hathi that is so appealing is their access control. Some users get some access, other users get different access. All the stuff is behind your password. I don't even have access to a lot of the stuff on Hathi, I just admire it from afar.

UCLA Streaming



DigitalKoans
@DigitalKoans



 Follow

Case Against UCLA for Streaming Licensed DVDs to Students Dismissed **Yet Again.**

techdirt.com/articles/20121...

 Reply  Retweet  Favorite  More

9:21 AM - 27 Nov 12

Reply to @DigitalKoans

November 2012 – Lawsuit from Association for Information Media and Equipment dismissed with prejudice meaning "quit asking". "not obviously a case of infringement" and some other discussion about whether a streaming copy is "fixing" a work which is required for copyright claims. This is also an access issue, was the stuff restricted enough being made available via the school's CMS? "streaming previously purchased video content over its intranet for educational purposes is not a copyright violation or a violation of any contract."

Public Access to Research



OFFICIAL OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY RESPONSE TO

Require free access over the Internet to scientific journal articles arising from taxpayer-funded research.

Increasing Public Access to the Results of Scientific Research

By Dr. John Holdren

Thank you for your participation in the We the People platform. The Obama Administration agrees that citizens deserve easy access to the results of research their tax dollars have paid for. As you may know, the Office of Science and Technology Policy has been looking into this issue for some time and has reached out to the public on two occasions for input on the question of how best to achieve this goal of democratizing the results of federally-funded research. Your petition has been important to our discussions of this issue.

Feb 2013 in response to a We the People petition that got over 60K signatures "Fed agencies with more than \$100 million in R&D expenditures have to develop plans to make the results of federally-funded research publicly available free of charge within 12 months." So it's not just hippies... Again, access, the stuff exists, the question is who to we make it available to and for how much?

Kirtsaeng v. Wiley

According to publishers...



 Public Knowledge

(Argument Oct 12) Decision March 13: “The ‘first sale’ doctrine, which allows the owner of a copyrighted work to sell or otherwise dispose of that copy as he wishes, applies to copies of a copyrighted work lawfully made abroad.” BAM first sale is upheld. Yay us.

Seltzer v. Green Day



August 2013 (also court costs) Green Days use of this street art image behind them in a concert was deemed fair use.

MPAA & Fair Use

MediaPost **NEWS**

ONLINE MEDIA DAILY

Home > Online Media Daily > Tuesday, Mar 19, 2013

MPAA Backs Authors Guild Against Universities

by [Wendy Davis](#), Mar 18, 2013, 6:10 PM


Comment (1)

Recommend (1)

Tweet 12

in Share

+1 0



Hollywood is siding with the Authors Guild in its attempt to revive a copyright lawsuit against five universities that worked with Google to digitize books.

The Motion Picture Association of America argues in a friend-of-the-court brief that the trial judge incorrectly ruled that the universities were protected by fair use principles.

Among other arguments, the MPAA contends that U.S. District Court Judge Harold Baer in New York didn't adequately consider Google's role in the digitization initiative. According to the MPAA, Google's

Subscribe to *Online Media Daily*

enter your email address

Subscribe



RSS



Email



Print

TAGS

books, copyright, digital, digital content, google, legal

Even the MPAA has been acting weird. After their March swipe against universities (and Google) and their fair use activities....

MPAA & Fair Use

POSTED
APR
9
21 HRS

Why Hollywood Studios Care About the NFL's Baltimore Ravens Logo

12:05 PM PDT 4/9/2013 by Eric Gardner

f 3 t 33 g+ 4 in 1 d 0 Email Print Comments (1)

The MPAA says that if an artist suing the league is victorious at an appeals court, it could raise problems for movies containing logos, signs, billboards and other copyrighted works.



Getty Images

The Baltimore Ravens celebrate their Super Bowl victory.

The Motion Picture Association of America is usually associated with its aggressive advocacy of expansive copyright laws. On Monday, however, the MPAA sided with the NFL and the Baltimore Ravens in defending limits to a copyright holder's authority to object to use of protected material.



...they then filed a support brief in April for fair use supporting the Baltimore Ravens in their defense against the guy who (maybe) designed one of their old logos. Suddenly they were like "oh yeah fair use PROTECTS us as moviemakers..." funny how that works.

Viacom v. YouTube



Broadcasting Ourselves ;) The Official YouTube Blog

THURSDAY, APRIL 18, 2013

YouTube wins case against Viacom (again)

Today is an important day for the Internet. For the second time, a [federal court](#) correctly rejected Viacom's lawsuit against YouTube. This is a win not just for YouTube, but for the billions of people worldwide who depend on the web to freely exchange ideas and information.

In enacting the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, Congress effectively balanced the public interest in free expression with the rights of copyright holders. The court today reaffirmed an established judicial consensus that the DMCA protects web platforms like YouTube that work with rightsholders and take appropriate steps to remove user-generated content that rightsholders notify them is infringing.



In April this year Viacom's case against YouTube was rejected. Viacom was arguing that YT had more of a responsibility to keep copyright violations from ever being uploaded instead of just taking them down when notified. Big year.

Viacom v. YouTube



Broadcasting Ourselves ;) The Official YouTube Blog

THURSDAY, APRIL 18, 2013

YouTube wins case against Viacom (again)


Today is an important day for the Internet. For the second time, a [federal court](#) correctly rejected Viacom's lawsuit against YouTube. This is a win not just for YouTube, but for the billions of people worldwide who depend on the web to freely exchange ideas and information.

In enacting the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, Congress effectively balanced the public interest in free expression with the rights of copyright holders. The court today reaffirmed an established judicial consensus that the DMCA protects web platforms like YouTube that work with rightsholders and take appropriate steps to remove user-generated content that rightsholders notify them is infringing.



In April this year Viacom's case against YouTube was rejected. Viacom was arguing that YT had more of a responsibility to keep copyright violations from ever being uploaded instead of just taking them down when notified. Big year.

Fair Use in Libraries



Fair Use Evaluator

What this tool can do for you:

- Help you better understand how to determine the "fairness" of a use under the U.S. Copyright Code.
- Collect, organize & archive the information you might need to support a fair use evaluation.
- Provide you with a time-stamped, PDF document for your records [\[example\]](#), which could prove valuable, should you ever be asked by a copyright holder to provide your fair use evaluation and the data you used to support it. [\[why is this important?\]](#)
- Provide access to educational materials, external copyright resources, and contact information for copyright help at local & national levels.

What this tool cannot do for you:

- This tool does not provide legal advice. It records the information you provide it as well as your own judgment on the fairness of the use. See the tool [\[disclaimer\]](#) for more information.
- Only a court of law can definitively rule on whether a use is fair or unfair. This tool does not assume or predict a court outcome.

[Make a Fair Use Evaluation](#)

[Learn More about Fair Use](#)

So there's been a lot of agitating about Fair Use and libraries and just like other legal wrassling that is reaching a tipping point this year, this is the time to start moving the goalposts our way.



FAIR USE ENABLES MISSION

- **Mission to serve knowledge past, present, future**
- **Need to access copyrighted work**
- **Digital innovation/obsolescence**

And the thing about Fair use is that it is--just like this slide from ARL outlines--one of the MAIN things we do. Share as much as we can. And as more content is digitized, that's all about access and EQUITY of access which is usually my hobbyhorse for another time, but worth keeping in mind. People seem to basically no longer care if you're photocopying whole books as long as you're not digitizing them or putting them on Scribd

Download

Add Note

Link

Embed

Save for later

+

-

□

1 of 300

Readcast

Tweet

WITHOUT A NET

LIBRARIANS BRIDGING THE DIGITAL DIVIDE



JESSAMYN C. WEST

Without_a_Net or Librarians in the Digital Age

How librarians are helping to change the world something...

[Download or Print](#)[+ Add To Collection](#)

506
READS

1
READCASTS

0
EMBED VIEWS

TIP Press **F** to search anywhere in the document.

Info and Rating

Category: [Books - Non-fiction](#)

Rating: ★★★★★

Upload Date: 04/12/2012

Copyright: Attribution Non-commercial

Tags: [School](#) [research](#)
[information](#) [libraries](#)
[teachers](#) [students](#)
[Data Mining](#) [digital age](#)
(more tags)

ebook download or read book online.


[Flag document for inappropriate content](#)

Speaking of Scribd, I stole my own book a few weeks ago, just for laughs, uh, "research". It was easier to find, download and read than purchase the version on my publisher's website. The publisher wanted me to create an account, all the stuff....



This content was removed at the request of ABC-CLIO

Related Documents




Information Anxiety 2

Rodrigo Genu published this 10 / 29 / 2011 2,120 reads 0

I then contacted my publisher and said "Hey maybe you want to do something about this" and they sent a DMCA notice and the book was taken down. But that was it. No one went to jail. No one even got arrested. With the exception of the RIAA/MPAA going after file-sharers, most people are reasonable about this sort of thing.

This content was removed at the request of ABC-CLIO

Related Documents



Information Anxiety 2

Rodrigo Genu published this 10 / 29 / 2011

2,120 reads 0

I then contacted my publisher and said "Hey maybe you want to do something about this" and they sent a DMCA notice and the book was taken down. But that was it. No one went to jail. No one even got arrested. With the exception of the RIAA/MPAA going after file-sharers, most people are reasonable about this sort of thing.

dp.la launch



[Home](#) [Exhibitions](#) [Map](#) [Timeline](#) [Apps](#)




A Wealth of Knowledge

from libraries, archives, and museums




Exhibitions

[View all »](#)



Explore by Date

[Timeline »](#)



1946 1947 1948

Back to fair use... Fair Use + libraries gives us projects like the DPLA. I have some mixed feelings about the publicness of the DPLA as well as the libraryness of it, but their heart is well in the right place. But seriously, it's 2013, no search for rights? I've often talked about how many web archives seem to have this "Hey it works!" aspect to it, as if getting stuff online is so difficult, they can be forgiven for lack of features

dp.la launch



[Home](#) [Exhibitions](#) [Map](#) [Timeline](#) [Apps](#)

Can I download items?

Each metadata record in the DPLA contains a link to the digital object on the content provider's website. Digital copies of some objects are available for download, based on the content provider and the individual rights status of the object.

What is the copyright status of items in the DPLA?

The copyright status of items in the DPLA varies. Many items are in the public domain. For individual rights information about an item, please check the Rights field in the metadata or follow the link to the digital object on the content provider's website for more information.

A Wealth of Knowledge

from libraries, archives, and museums



Explore
by Date

[Timeline »](#)



1946 1947 1948

Back to fair use... Fair Use + libraries gives us projects like the DPLA. I have some mixed feelings about the publicness of the DPLA as well as the libraryness of it, but their heart is well in the right place. But seriously, it's 2013, no search for rights? I've often talked about how many web archives seem to have this "Hey it works!" aspect to it, as if getting stuff online is so difficult, they can be forgiven for lack of features

SUBJECTS

AUTHORS

ADD A BOOK

LISTS

RECENTLY

HELP

OPEN LIBRARY

One web page for every book.







Search

Show only eBooks

[More search options](#)

Open Library is yours
to borrow, read & search.

Books to Read The World's classic literature at your fingertips. Over [1,000,000 free ebook titles](#) available.



Books to Borrow Here's a sample of recently returned books from the [eBook lending library](#).

In contrast, there's Open Library. I'm not sure how much you know about Open Library. I've been working there doing support email as a hobby the past few months. It's project of the Internet Archive but somewhat different. It allows lending of books, Ebooks. To anyone (in the US). It's a bit of a scheme. Let me explain.

Read...

10

Creator made us for climbing. It's quite natural and perfectly safe."

Then why did it look so . . . so unnatural and so unsafe to me, I wondered. But I knew better than to answer back to Mother.

She pushed me back away from her and I knew what would happen next. She was going to climb that tree and leave me on the ground again. I couldn't stand the thought. I hated to be alone. I decided to follow her, clinging to her if possible. I reached for another handful of her thick hair and held on tightly as she took her first step toward the tree.

"Now Pordy," she said, turning to look at me, "You can't climb if your hands are full of something else. You'll have to let go of me and use your paws on the tree trunk."

Let go? I couldn't think of it. Mother was my safety. How could I let go and trust my own small paws on the trunk?

"Let go, Pordy," she said again.


I began to shiver again - and I wasn't even off the ground.

"Let go."

I let the handful of Mother's coat slip from my fingers. I wanted to curl up into a ball and close my eyes again. Oh, if only I could go back to the snug nest in the hollow of the tree trunk.

"Now put up the first paw and grab the trunk tightly just like you clutched me," advised Mother.

Still shivering, I reached up one small paw and pressed my claws deeply into the soft bark of the tree




You can read books online with one click (not like an overdrive "one-click" an actual single click), you can also

Borrow...

3 editions of [Pordy's prickly problem](#) by [Janette Oke](#) • [Add edition?](#)
← Previous | [Next](#) →

Last edited by [ImportBot](#)
August 12, 2011 | [History](#) [Edit](#)



Pordy's prickly problem
Janette Oke ; [illustrated by Brenda Mann ; edited by Grace Pettifor].
Published **1993** by [Bethel Pub.](#) in [Elkhart, IN.](#)
Written in [English](#).

About the Book
Young porcupine Pordy learns that to have a friend you must be one.

Edition Notes
"A Bethel book, #11"--Cover.
"A classic children's story."

Classifications
Dewey Decimal Class [Fic]
Library of Congress PZ7.O4144 Po 1993

Read
[DAISY](#)


Borrow
eBook PDF, ePub or in browser from Internet Archive
[Physical copy, local](#) WorldCat

Buy
[Alibris](#)
[Amazon](#)
[AbeBooks](#)
[Biblio.com](#)
[Book Depository](#)
[Powells](#)

[Manage Covers](#)




borrow books, even ones that are in copyright thanks to an agreement with partner libraries and a bit of envelope pushing. You see Open Library takes books that are not heavily circulated (this is a 20 year old kids book) and scans them and puts them away. Then it circulates the digital copy, one copy at a time, to anyone the Open Library serves. It's sort of nuts. They're sort of waiting for someone to sue them. One of their partners is Boston Public Library and I've seen them talk about this. But I bet when (or if) they're sued, they will win. Here's a little quote from an article on Copyright Risk Management in ARL's Digital Library Issues publication about what you really need to worry about.



First, try to reduce the number of risky items that a collection contains. Second, try to reduce the number of people who are likely to want to sue you over the collection.

borrow books, even ones that are in copyright thanks to an agreement with partner libraries and a bit of envelope pushing. You see Open Library takes books that are not heavily circulated (this is a 20 year old kids book) and scans them and puts them away. Then it circulates the digital copy, one copy at a time, to anyone the Open Library serves. It's sort of nuts. They're sort of waiting for someone to sue them. One of their partners is Boston Public Library and I've seen them talk about this. But I bet when (or if) they're sued, they will win. Here's a little quote from an article on Copyright Risk Management in ARL's Digital Library Issues publication about what you really need to worry about.



BUT...

- **Insecurity and hesitation=staff costs, mission deformed**
- **Fair use would help, but is under-used**
- **Risk aversion substituted for fair use analysis**

This is also from the ARLs Code of Best Practices. Often the impediments to actually USING things in a fair use fashion aren't technological they're what we like to call "wetware" Or like we say on MetaFilter "you are trying to employ a technological solution for a social problem" or more simply, PEBCAK




So we're really in the job of not always knowing the rules but of doing some level of risk assessment. And the more we're willing to risk, the more of our cultural content we can share.




This image is of a **poster**, and the copyright for it is most likely owned by either the publisher or the creator of the work depicted. It is believed that the use of **scaled-down, low-resolution** images of posters

- **to provide critical commentary on the film, event, etc. in question or of the poster itself**, not solely for illustration
- on the [English-language Wikipedia](#), hosted on servers in the United States by the non-profit [Wikimedia Foundation](#),

qualifies as **fair use** under [United States copyright law](#). **Any other uses of this image, on Wikipedia or elsewhere may be copyright infringement.** See [Wikipedia:Non-free content](#) for more information.



So we're really in the job of not always knowing the rules but of doing some level of risk assessment. And the more we're willing to risk, the more of our cultural content we can share.




Documentary Filmmakers' Statement of

BEST PRACTICES IN FAIR USE

Association of Independent Video and Filmmakers
Independent Feature Project
International Documentary Association
National Alliance for Media Arts and Culture
Women in Film and Video, Washington, D.C., Chapter



One big takeaway from ARLs research on Best Practices and Fair Use is that they found just the presence of a set of guidelines had a chilling effect on people's desire to sue people. That is if you have a set of standards and apply them fairly just the presence of these guidelines helps you stay safe. If you don't have some best practices, it's worth the time and effort to make one, seriously.



CODE OF BEST PRACTICES IN FAIR USE FOR POETRY



One big takeaway from ARLs research on Best Practices and Fair Use is that they found just the presence of a set of guidelines had a chilling effect on people's desire to sue people. That is if you have a set of standards and apply them fairly just the presence of these guidelines helps you stay safe. If you don't have some best practices, it's worth the time and effort to make one, seriously.





October 2009

Code of Best Practices in Fair Use for OpenCourseWare



One big takeaway from ARLs research on Best Practices and Fair Use is that they found just the presence of a set of guidelines had a chilling effect on people's desire to sue people. That is if you have a set of standards and apply them fairly just the presence of these guidelines helps you stay safe. If you don't have some best practices, it's worth the time and effort to make one, seriously.



One big takeaway from ARLs research on Best Practices and Fair Use is that they found just the presence of a set of guidelines had a chilling effect on people's desire to sue people. That is if you have a set of standards and apply them fairly just the presence of these guidelines helps you stay safe. If you don't have some best practices, it's worth the time and effort to make one, seriously.

Upcoming...

HARVARD BUSINESS SCHOOL PUBLISHING)
CORPORATION,)
JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC., and)
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO,)


Plaintiffs,)

v.)

INSTITUTE FOR THE STUDY OF COHERENCE)
AND EMERGENCE, INC., and)
MICHAEL R. LISSACK,)

Defendants.)



- 
- ▶ **Exercise** your Fair Use rights.
 - ▶ **Encourage** sharing.
 - ▶ **Demand** rights metadata.
 - ▶ **Promote** rights awareness.
 - ▶ **Use** sharing-oriented licenses on content you create.



So I said I had a challenge for you earlier. This is my TODO list for anyone working extensively with digital content.



AS
SEEN ON
TV

FOR
AGES
10 TO
ADULT

PASSWORD

MILTON
BRADLEY
COMPANY
SPRINGFIELD
MASSACHUSETTS

4260

MADE IN U.S.A.



© 1962 PEAK PRODUCTION INC.
UNDER REG. U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

So the old way of looking at access and sharing was the librarians and educators were the ones with the passwords and if you were nice or deserving they might share them with you.

You're it.



At the end of the day, someone's got root. And you don't just all know the passwords. You ARE the passwords. Open 'em up.



Thank you.

<librarian.net/talks/njla13>

